- 1 financial statement here, August 27, 1993. 2 the first time she said she needed it, because when we 3 first discussed it, she said that -- I may have asked her if I should send her a copy of it. Or I'm not sure exactly what I said, but I know I remember very 5 clearly her saying, "I don't need it now but I will." 6 7 And I said, "Well, you let me know when you need it." 8 9 So approximately during the time of that August 27 marked Exhibit No. 1 financial statement, 10 that was when you provided the statements to her? 11 12
  - Α. Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 13 Exhibit No. 1 and Exhibit No. 2? 0.
- 14 Α. That's correct.
- 15 Fine. Did she ask you for anything else in 0. writing prior to December, 1991? Let's strike that 16 question. 17
  - Did she ask you for anything in writing prior to December, 1991?
  - She didn't ask me for anything in writing in December, 1991, and she didn't ask me for anything in writing prior to that time.
  - Did she ask you for anything else in writing Q. after December, 1991?
  - Again, you keep saying "else." She never Α.

asked me for anything in writing. The only reason I'm saying that is there seems to be some implication that she did ask me for something in writing. The first time she ever asked for a writing was, in the form of a financial statement, was around August, 1993, although I had told her in 1991 that I would give her what she needed.

And now, as we're sitting here, I do recall specifically asking her in the conversation we talked about my balance sheet whether she needed a commitment letter, and she told me that she did not.

- Q. What do you mean by "a commitment letter"?
- A. I'm using the term that bankers use. It's a formal written commitment by a bank to lend funds, and it's frequently used in deals and transactions where you get a commitment letter from the bank, and based on that commitment letter, you go out and do a deal.

And I asked her whether a commitment letter was necessary, and this was in 1991, and she said it was not. It was in the same conversation where she told me that she didn't need my financial statement.

- Q. I understand. Let's take a short break. Is that okay?
  - A. Yes, absolutely.

25 (Recess from 11:35 to 11:45 a.m.)

Q. Before December, 1991, do you remember which conversation you did this in and what it is that you said?

A. We just went over that. I'll recapitulate it for you. At some point in 1991, in November, 1991, and I date it all by the receipt of this check from my former law firm, which has nothing to do with this specifically except that I remember very clearly waiting for it, having received it, and then Loren and I going over my balance sheet, and that's how I'm able to date the conversations. Those were about the third week in November.

Before that there was -- and it may have been a week or two before that -- she told me that she was getting concerned that Derrick Cephas and his group would not give her the commitment that she needed to file her application. It was at that point that I volunteered, and I said that, "Hey, I'll be glad to do it. I think it's a great deal."

And following that, we had another conversation -- it was very shortly thereafter -- where she became back and basically asked me to confirm what I had previously said. She said, "Are you really sure you want to do this?"

And I said, "Absolutely." I said, "This is a

- Q. And during those two conversations you've just spoken of, did you discuss any of the terms?
- A. No, we never -- we never discussed the terms. I told her that I would provide the financing.
  - Q. How much was requested?

()

**(**)

A. Well, at that point she had said \$350,000, \$360,000, one of those two. My recollection is \$350,000. She asked me at some point to sign a declaration in which she said it was 360,000, but it was in that range, but I'm not sure. That was the number we were talking about. But she had previously told me what the cost was to do this, but at this point we had not talked about the financing from me. She was talking about getting financing from Derrick Cephas and his group.

And as I said, it's possible, although I'm not sure, because this would have been in October,

1991. I know I was in New York several times because we had just gotten a major decision in litigation, and

Q. Does this --

C .

- A. I think I should also add, and it's relevant here, is it was never -- in terms of the exact contours of the loan, the \$350,000 that she said she needed and I said I would finance -- was described as the maximum that was necessary and that it would not be a sum that would be required all at once. It would be a sum that would be required after she got the application -- when and if the application was approved. I think she said going over a one- to two-year period between that point and the time the station finally went on the air that, you know, you would need -- certain expenses would come up sooner than others.
- Q. By "that point," do you mean to say when she was granted the construction permit?
- A. Whenever -- well, my agreement was to lend her up to \$350,000. Maybe it was \$360,000 -- my recollection is \$350,000 -- and that the money would be disbursed under that loan beginning at the point

Q. So are we talking about one to two years of construction of the station?

A. I don't know. It wouldn't necessarily be construction of the station but the things that it takes to get a station on the air from the point that you have the license that, you know -- first of all, you have to get a tower, I guess. You have to get a transmitter; you have to get facilities; you have to purchase equipment; you have to hire a staff.

And I know that she had that -- a number of people were advising her on this, and I think they had, you know -- estimates of going from the earliest may have been nine months to twelve months, and some of them might have been two years.

- Q. Did it include any time period after the station went on the air?
- A. As I say, I am not sure. And I'll be very honest with you, I don't remember whether one of the

- components in the \$350,000 was for working capital. I
  do know that one thing that stands out is the \$350,000
  was probably too high. It probably would not be that
  high.
  - Q. Let me move on to -- so with regard to the \$40,000 amount we spoke of earlier, was there any writing with regard to that commitment?
    - A. No. As I've told you, there was none.
  - Q. Was there any writing with regard to terms or conditions of that commitment?
- 11 A. No.

- Q. Have you spoken to anyone else about the first commitment of the approximately \$350,000 to Ms. Selznick?
- A. Yes. I spoke to my brother-in-law Terry

  Mc Nulty about it because he's the fellow in the radio

  business, and I suggested to him that he may want to

  bring my dear sister out to California and join the

  rest of us out here.
  - Q. Did you tell Ms. Selznick about this?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And what was her response?
  - A. I think she was enthusiastic because she knows Terry. She has met him on several occasions, and I think she would value very highly his experience

1 in the radio business. I mean, he's done it all.

- Q. So was it with regard to him coming out and being employed by her?
  - A. Employed, that's right. But I think we were also, as I told her, you know, when she got around to hiring people, that she would want to get somebody who is good and senior and has a lot of experience and that she should be prepared to give them some equity, but it would be as an employee but with some form of equity typical to an employment agreement for a senior person.
  - Q. Would you be interested in -- strike that.

    Are you considering working for the radio station?
  - A. Good grief, no. I don't work as an employee anywhere, and besides, I don't think my voice would be very good over the air.
  - Q. Would you take on the role as an advisor to her with regard to her investment?
  - A. Oh, absolutely. And depending on how she organized her business. If it should be in a corporate form, I would probably want to be on the board of directors if I provided the financing.
  - MR. DANIELS: I would like to mark as Exhibit 3 a copy of a declaration, a two-page declaration with two

1 pages of attached financial statements, which appear to be identical to Exhibit 1 and 2 financial 2 statements; so this shall be marked Exhibit 3, page 2 3 bearing a signature of Joseph P. Dailey. 4 (The document referred to was marked 5 Exhibit 3 for identification by the reporter 6 7 and attached hereto.) BY MR. DANIELS: 8 9 I'm showing you page 2 of the declaration. Q. 10 Is that your declaration, and is that your signature? 11 This appears to be a copy of the declaration Α. 12 with my signature on it. 13 Okay. What input did you have into drafting that declaration? 15 Virtually none. I was very busy at the 16 She called and said that she needed a declaration. And I said, "Draft it up, and I'll read 17 it and sign it." And she did and --18 By "she," you mean Ms. Selznick? 19 Q. 20 Loren Selznick, yes. 21 Okay. Let's look at -- if it's okay, let me Q. take one look at it again, please. I'm referring to 22 23 paragraph 2. 24 When in December, 1991, did Ms. Selznick 25 apply for the permit? You say, "At the time

0

0

C

0

0

. . .

O

Q. So at the --

- A. -- but she drafted this, and I'll tell you I gave it a very cursory review. I didn't see anything wrong in it. It appeared to be accurate. I would have said some things differently, but substantively, it was accurate. And I signed it. And any lawyer knows that's how the legal world works. I don't talk this way.
- Q. In paragraph 2 it says -- well, strike that.

  You did sign it. Did you understand what you were signing?
  - A. Absolutely.
- Q. Fine. In paragraph 2 why is the period of three months without revenue mentioned as opposed to some other period?
- A. Well, looking at this now, as you recall, earlier I said I was not -- I could not remember whether there was a working capital component to the \$350,000 number. She has the exact number down here, \$360,070, and I'm sure that was the number that we spoke of. I had remembered it as 350,000, but it's

- application regarding an FCC license?
  - Α. No. I was not aware that I had to. Did I?
- 3 0. I don't know.

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

()

 $\supset$ 

ن

- All I thought I was going to do was provide A. I thought that was enough. Maybe I failed the monev. 5 test.
  - No. Let me ask you the next question. Q. In sentence No. 2 of paragraph 2: "At the time, we contemplated that the total cost would be \$360,070." Why do you say "we contemplated"?
  - I understand I signed the declaration, but I Α. also told you Loren drafted this, and when she drafted this and sent it to me, I believed this was substantively true. As I say, my recollection was -you had asked me -- without more, my thought was -- my recollection was \$350,000. She said it was \$360,000. And I said, "Well, your memory, I'm sure, is better than mine." And I assumed that she put down this \$360,070 figure from some piece of paper she had. Ι don't know why she did it.
  - But I certainly at the time did not say, "You can count on it. I'm going to provide you \$360,000, \$360,070." I told her that I would provide the financing. And she had previously told me that it was, again, my recollection is about \$350,000.

Q. Were there any other terms mentioned at that time?

€.

A. At or about this time, she did raise -- she said that the other side, referring to Clanton's lawyers, had raised the question of whether or not it was a legally enforceable promise because there were no specific terms and conditions.

And I said, "As far as I'm concerned, that's a lot of baloney. Implicit is in this is that they are reasonably commercial terms for a loan of this nature of exactly the type that I, when I had gone out to get financing -- you go to the banks, they are all the same for the financing of a business." And I said, "Those are the implicit terms. And you're roughly talking about 4 to 5 percent above prime.

You're talking about a security interest in the hard assets. You're probably talking about some form of five-year note and probably a personal guarantee."

And whatever the terms are -- I mean, I was, you know -- this in one sense was a favor, but obviously for my wife and my family, you know, it would be a standard loan. I mean, that was always the position. Whatever she could get from the bank, if a bank was willing, those were the terms.

Q. When did you communicate these terms to

Ms. Selznick?

A. It was around -- it was at the time -- I believe it was after time I told her -- that she advised me that there would be -- that she was going to submit a revised proposal and that now what she would like me to finance was \$40,000. It was after that because I think it was when the litigation started or the FCC ligation if that qualifies as litigation. It's when that arose that we talked about this concept of terms. But we did not talk about the terms in connection with my commitment to provide the financing.

- Q. The \$40,000?
- A. The \$40,000 or the \$350,000 or \$360,000.
- Q. Did she ask you at any time to put those terms in writing?
- A. No. Well, that's not quite true. I told you that back in November, 1991, I asked her whether a commitment letter was required, and she told me it was not. Now, a commitment letter would have -- again, a typical commitment letter will not have very many terms in it. In fact, many -- I know bank lawyers who I talked to, said they don't like to put too many terms in them because they don't want it to rise to the level of an agreement, but it will generally

- 1 your financial status since the August 27, 1993
  2 financial statement?
  - A. No.

0:

- Q. Do you have a more recent financial statement?
  - A. As I say, I can produce a financial statement that, if you would like, would be right up to this minute. As I say -- I don't mean to be facetious -- I keep this on my computer, and obviously my bank accounts have different amounts of cash in them, and I know I put more money in the business. I got a small amount from the partnership profits, but I paid down some more mortgage debt. I paid down a note. So it's materially the same, but the individual numbers change. They change all the time.
  - Q. Just a few more questions. Did you give

    Ms. Selznick at any time any information or writing of

    your net income after federal income tax?
  - A. Did you say did I give her any information or any writing? I didn't give her any writing.
  - Q. Information about your net income after federal income tax.
  - A. Yes. We discussed that. It must have been around July, July of 1993, when the question arose whether I was financially capable or whatever the term

the SEC uses. And, in fact, I think maybe it came up
when I was reviewing the FCC instructions, but
someplace I said, "You know, you never talked to me
about an income statement."

And she said that was right. And we joked something to the effect that it was unnecessary because she knew Breed, Abbott partners are paid outrageously anyway. And I subsequently told her, I think by 1991, I told her what my income was. It's fallen off since I left the law firm.

Q. Since you left the law firm?

- A. Yes. But it's still reasonably comfortable.
- Q. Well, being reasonably comfortable, thank you very much.

Let me, just for the record, say that I will stipulate that the court reporter be relieved of her duties under the Code; that the original deposition be sent to counsel; that the deponent be allowed to sign under penalty of perjury; and that you'll notify us, Mr. Dailey, of any changes a month from now, 30 days after receipt, 30 days from today's date, if that's okay?

- A. Depends on when I get it.
- Q. The 10th of January.

25 And that notification should be in writing.

## CITED PAGES OF SELZNICK'S DEPOSITION

BY MR. MILLER:

1

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

17

- Q. Were you aware that the commission requires the filing of an application for a license after completion of construction?
  - A. I believe so.
- Q. Were you aware prior to filing your application that the completion of Form 302 requires certain engineering information to be supplied?
  - A. No.
- Q. Were you aware that the filing of the Form 302 necessitated the paying of a fee to the FCC?
- A. Only to the extent that my understanding is every time you file some sort of application with the FCC, there is a fee connected with it.
- Q. You were not aware of the amount of the fee, though?
  - A. No.
- Q. And it does not appear in your budget anywhere, does it?
  - A. No.
- Q. You are proposing, according to page 72, to have an STL?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Do you see that?
3	MR. THOMPSON: You are asking that in
4	the present tense?
5	MR. MILLER: The budget proposes an
6	STL.
7	MR. THOMPSON: I just want to be sure
8	that you are talking about what this budget proposes
9	as opposed to what her plan may be today?
10	MR. MILLER: We are talking about at
11	the time she filed her application.
12	BY MR. MILLER:
13	Q. When you filed your application, were you
14	aware whether a separate application for an STL was
15	required by the FCC?
16	A. No.
17	Q. So you would not have been aware whether
18	any fees had to be paid to the FCC in connection
19	with such an application?
20	A. I didn't know that there was such an
21	application required.
22	Q. And there is no provision in your budget

1	furnishings?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Did you so intend at the time you filed
4	your application?
5	A. Yes, I did.
6	Q. Did you estimate the cost of moving those
7	household furnishings to California?
8	MR. THOMPSON: I am going to object
9	as irrelevant. I'll probably let the witness
10	answer, but, again, Jerry, think this through a
11	minute.
12	MR. MILLER: If you are going to
13	allow her to answer, then let her answer. I want to
14	get a move on. It's a quarter to six.
15	MR. THOMPSON: Go ahead.
16	THE WITNESS: The question is, did I
17	estimate
18	BY MR. MILLER:
19	Q. Did you estimate the cost of moving your
20	household furnishings from New York to California?
21	MR. THOMPSON: As part of this cost
22	budget?

BY MR. MILLER: 1 As part of your estimate of the cost to construct the station? 3 Α. No. At the time you created this budget, did Q. 5 you intend to personally supervise the construction 6 of the station? 7 MR. THOMPSON: Let me ask, by 8 "personally supervise the construction of the 9 station," be onsite? 10 MR. MILLER: Being onsite. 11 onsite. 12 Do you mean constantly? THE WITNESS: 13 BY MR. MILLER: 14 On a daily basis. Q. 15 On a daily basis? A. 16 MR. THOMPSON: Forty hours a week. 17 No. THE WITNESS: 18 BY MR. MILLER: 19 Did you intend to spend some time onsite 20 during the construction of the station? 21 Yes. Α. 22

same trip where I drove around California with my girlfriend. We stayed at his home twice then and then I stayed there again, I think, twice at the end of the summer around the NAB convention.

And then I found out about the El Rio frequency, and he basically encouraged me to pursue it. It sounded like a good deal to him, and he is someone who I rely on and trust for advice in addition to being someone who I trust as a friend and as a former member of Breed, Abbott.

And at some point when it was getting close to when the application was going to be filed and things were not panning out with Mr. Cephas, Joe said that he would lend me the money and we discussed his finances at that point.

- Q. Did he provide you at that point with any documentation of his finances? "At that point" meaning prior to the application being filed.
- A. He read from his balance sheet to me and he told that I could have it whenever I needed it.
  - Q. This reading was over the telephone?
  - A. That's right.

- Q. How long before the application was filed did you have this telephone call?
  - A. I don't remember.
- Q. Was this the same call in which he said he would be willing to lend you the money?
- A. No.

- Q. Was the call where he said he would lend you the money before or after he read from his balance sheet?
  - A. Before.
- Q. Tell me more about what he said, if anything, regarding his willingness to lend you the money? Was that the extent of it? He said, "I will lend you the money." Did he say anything else?
- A. Well, he also said that he could lend me the money, and I think he said, "It sounded like a good deal," and it was -- he said he would lend me the money as a backup if I didn't borrow it from somewhere else.
- Q. Did you make any notes of that conversation?
- 22 A. No.

Q. Any reason why you didn't? There are so many notes. We have spent three hours on notes.

A. For a couple of reasons. One is that, as I say, I talk to Joe several times a day and we cover a myriad of topics in the same conversation, and in one conversation, it could be half personal and half business. We could be talking about his children or my parrot. I don't take notes of our conversations unless it's clearly instructions I have to follow.

As I say, I still work for him and he will often call me with marching orders and then I start taking notes, but, no, I mean, this is a good friend, this is a person I trust, and you take notes of a conversation if you are afraid that you won't be able to carry through what you are supposed to do afterwards in the sense that you are not going to remember what your instructions are or if it's somebody you don't know and you want to be able say later, "Hey, wait a second. On such and such a date you told me X." I don't habitually take notes of my telephone conversations with friends.

- A. Specifically Oxnard, no.
- Q. The Oxnard/El Rio?

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- A. Specifically that area, no.
- Q. When Mr. Dailey was giving you information from his balance sheet over the telephone, did he give you his net income after federal income tax for either 1990 or 1989?
- A. No, but I have a general idea of what it was.
  - Q. How did you have this general idea?
- A. Because I had a general idea what partners made at Breed, Abbott.
- Q. I am sorry. When, again, did he leave Breed, Abbott?
- A. Sometime between January and April of '91.
- Q. Now you indicated that, I think you used
  the term, you had reasonable assurance of
  financing. At the time you filed your application,
  what was your understanding of the term, reasonable
  assurance of financing, as it appears on FCC Form
  Let me make sure it appears there.

(Counsel perusing document.) No, they took that out. They took it out from the form. Let's not refer to the form. Let me strike that question and ask another question.

Did you understand at the time that you filed your application in 1991 that you had to have reasonable assurance of the funds necessary to meet your construction and initial operating costs?

MR. THOMPSON: Objection. Asked and answered. She already said she did. She testified earlier that she told Mr. Dailey that was one of the things she had to have.

## BY MR. MILLER:

- Q. Give me what your understanding of the commission's definition of reasonable assurance was at the time you filed your application?
- A. I am not sure I was aware of that term at that time.
- Q. Did you do any research into the commission's decisions or policy statements regarding financial qualification prior to filing your application?